# Mental Processing Speed and Learning: Implicit and Explicit Learning of **Spatial Location Sequences**

#### Objective

The goal of this project was to investigates a potential relationship between Mental Processing Speed, or how quickly an individual processes visual information, and learning. Since mental speed is considered to be a basic cognitive process that influences higher order cognition, such as reasoning, it is important to understand its relationship to learning. In particular we are interested in two types of learning: Implicit and Explicit.

#### **IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT LEARNING**

Learning can be defined as the process of acquiring new information, which can be facts, behaviors, or as in this experiment, a visual pattern of sequential target locations. Implicit Learning is when this process occurs without the learner having conscious awareness while Explicit Learning involves some inclusion conscious awareness and attention.

Learning (Serial Reaction Time) task: This task measure the reaction time of a participant pressing keys corresponding to the location of the stimuli on the screen. This was done twice. In the implicit version participants were unaware of a pattern, while they were forewarned in the explicit condition.

### MENTAL PROCESSING SPEED

Inspection time task: This task measures the minimum amount of time needed for making accurate decisions about a target stimulus

-The duration the target is presented was varied by block 80, 50, 30, 20, 10ms (15 trials per block)

In this study we used 3 inspection time tasks

- •Speeded Detection: Did you see the letter?
- •Speeded Identification: What was the letter?
- •**Speeded Discrimination:** Do the letters in the pair match?

#### Hypotheses

- 1) Some individuals will be able to correctly identify the sequential target pattern on the learning task.
- 2) Reaction times across the blocks of the learning task will indicate learning, as evidenced by quicker responses from random trials to sequential and from initial sequential to the final block of sequential trials.
- 3) Main Hypothesis: Individuals who are able to correctly identify the pattern on either learning task will show faster information processing on the speed measure.

#### Acknowledgements

This project was conducted at the Kenyon College Cognition Lab and was funded by the Summer Science Program, in which grant money was used to compensate 51 Gambier community participants ranging in age from 18 to 35 years. Clifford Eberhardt is currently a junior majoring in both math and psychology. The computer programs used were created using Eprime software. The learning task is a shortened version used by Unsworth and Engle.

Department of Psychology & Neuroscience

#### References

Unsworth, Nash & Engles, W. Randall (2005). Individual differences in working memory capacity and learning: Evidence from the serial reaction time task. *Memory & Cognition,* 33, 213-220. Frensch, A. Peter & Miner, S. Caroline (1994). Effects of presentation rate and individual differences in short-term memory capacity on an indirect measure of serial learning. *Memory & Cognition,* 22, 95-110. Sheppard, D. Leah & Vernon, A. Philip (2008). Intelligence and speed of information-processing: A review of 50 years of research. Personality and Individual Differences, 44, 535-551. Rayner, K. (1998). Eye Movements in Reading and Information Processing: 20 Years of Research. *Psychological Bulletin, 124, 372-422.* Vainio, S., Hyönä, J., and Pajunen, A. (2009). Lexical Predictability Exerts Robust Effects on Fixation Duration, but not on Initial Landing Position During Reading. Experimental Psychology, 56, 66-74. White, S. J. (2008). Eye Movement Control During Reading: Effects of Word Frequency and Orthographic Familiarity. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 34, 205-223.

## Mental Speed (Inspection Time) Tasks

•Speed Task 1: Letter Detection & Identification •Speed Task 2:Letter Discrimination

Task 1



## Learning (Serial Reaction Time) Task

A star appeared at one of four locations and the participant pressed the key corresponding to the location. After the participant has made the response the star will appear in a new location 350 ms later and the participant must press the key corresponding to the new location.





The two ways learning was assessed included an awareness assessment where the participants were asked to identify the pattern after each portion of the task was completed (In the implicit condition participants were first asked if they saw a pattern) as well as taking the difference scores between trial blocks.



Task 2





### Evidence for Learning through Reaction 'Time



These data indicate that participants show signs of learning on both conditions since reaction times decrease as they reach the final sequential block. Also that implicit reaction times were generally faster then times for the explicit condition, perhaps because participants are taking longer to consciously analyze the pattern since they are informed a priori that it does exist in this condition.



The findings from the above graphs indicate that those who could identify a pattern on the implicit version of the task performed better on all 3 mental speed tasks, whereas being able to identify the correct pattern on the explicit version is only associated with one type of speed: discrimination.

Findings of this exploratory cognitive research provide more insight into the nature of basic processes that are associated with learning. Results support the hypothesis that there is a relationship between an individual's ability to learn and how quickly that person processes visual input. Findings are also consistent with the notion that fast information processing may allow information to reach conscious awareness more readily and perhaps aid in the identification of a pattern of events.

### Individual Differences Findings

### Discussion

